A brief introduction to geometric fitting algorithms pt. 0

Alejandro Garnung Menéndez

28 January 2025

Contents

Least-squares		•																				1
Fitting polynomials	•	•	•		•				•	•				•	•	•	•	•	•	•		2

Least-squares

Least squares is one of our most reliable methods for geometric fitting; not only is it practical (explicit), but it is also mathematically elegant (convex, in mathematical terms).

The classic process of fitting a line y = mx + b to data points, which are not guaranteed to fit perfectly, involves minimizing the following least-squares error function:

$$D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(f(x_i) - y_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(mx_i + b - y_i \right)^2, \tag{1}$$

where f(x) = mx + b. This expression measures vertical distances, i.e., deviations in the direction of the *y*-axis, in a 2D axis representation.

Alternative approaches to least squares involve using norms other than L_2 , for example, the L_1 norm:

$$D' = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |f(x_i) - y_i|,$$

Or the perpendicular distance:

$$D'' = \frac{|Ax_i + By_i + C|}{\sqrt{A^2 + B^2}}$$

It is worth mentioning that minimizing distances orthogonal to the line (total least squares), instead of the vertical ones, is often more robust to outliers.

The extremality condition for the scalar function D (refer to Equation (1)) is:

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial b} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2 \cdot (mx_i + b - y_i) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial D}{\partial m} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2x_i \cdot (mx_i + b - y_i) = 0.$$

For example, if n = 2 (to satisfy algebraically the necessary condition for a complete system with 2 unknowns), we obtain:

$$x_0(mx_0 + b - y_0) + x_1(mx_1 + b - y_1) = 0,$$

(mx_0 + b - y_0) + (mx_1 + b - y_1) = 0.

In matrix form, the above system can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ x_0 & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b + mx_0 - y_0 \\ b + mx_1 - y_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Expanding further:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ x_0 & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_0 \\ 1 & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b \\ m \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This can also be expressed compactly as:

$$A^T \cdot A \cdot x - A^T \cdot y = 0.$$

Here, A is the design matrix, $x = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ m \end{bmatrix}$, and $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix}$.

We can now solve the system using Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) or the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse. Using the pseudoinverse, the solution is given by:

$$x = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T y,$$

with the condition that $A^T A$ is invertible (which requires that the points are distinct).

Fitting polynomials

Generalizing the line equation (i.e. the first-degree polynomial) to a k-order polynomial:

$$y = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_k x^k \tag{2}$$

The remainder of the optimization problem is given by:

$$R^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_{i} - \left(a_{0} + a_{1}x_{i} + \dots + a_{k}x_{i}^{k} \right) \right)^{2}$$
(3)

The partial derivatives set to zero, necessary to satisfy the optimality condition for finding the unknowns that minimize the residual, are:

$$\frac{\partial R^2}{\partial a_0} = -2\sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \left(a_0 + a_1 x_i + \dots + a_k x_i^k \right) \right) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial R^2}{\partial a_1} = -2\sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \left(a_0 + a_1 x_i + \dots + a_k x_i^k \right) \right) x_i = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial R^2}{\partial a_k} = -2\sum_{i=1}^n \left(y_i - \left(a_0 + a_1 x_i + \dots + a_k x_i^k \right) \right) x_i^k = 0$$

This leads to a system of nonlinear equations (if k > 1):

$$a_0 n + a_1 \sum_i x_i + \dots + a_k \sum_i x_i^k = \sum_i y_i$$
$$a_0 \sum_i x_i + a_1 \sum_i x_i^2 + \dots + a_k \sum_i x_i^{k+1} = \sum_i x_i y_i$$
$$a_0 \sum_i x_i^k + a_1 \sum_i x_i^{k+1} + \dots + a_k \sum_i x_i^{2k} = \sum_i x_i^k y_i$$

Rewritten in matrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n & \sum x_i & \dots & \sum x_i^k \\ \sum x_i & \sum x_i^2 & \dots & \sum x_i^{k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sum x_i^k & \sum x_i^{k+1} & \dots & \sum x_i^{2k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum y_i \\ \sum x_i y_i \\ \vdots \\ \sum x_i^k y_i \end{bmatrix}$$
$$G \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}.$$

The first matrix, G, is the Gram matrix. These are denoted the "normal equations". It is straightforward to demonstrate that we can write the normal equations using the Vandermonde matrix, V:

$$G \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} \iff V^T V \mathbf{a} = V^T \mathbf{y},$$

i.e.:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^k & x_2^k & \dots & x_n^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^k \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1^2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1^k & x_2^k & \dots & x_n^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, given n tuples (x_i, y_i) , and fitting a polynomial of degree k with coefficients a_0, \ldots, a_k , we have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \dots & x_1^k \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \dots & x_n^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $X \equiv V$, so $X \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{y}$.

By premultiplying by X^T , the problem can be solved numerically, or by directly inverting (if the problem is well-posed / the matrix is well-formed):

$$\mathbf{a} = (X^T X)^{-1} X^T \mathbf{y},$$

which is the least-squares solution for the Vandermonde polynomial.